

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/707,373		12/09/2003		Leendert M. Huisman	BUR920030139US1			
	30449 7590 05/23/2006				EXAMINER			
		•	EN & WATTS		BRITT, CYNTHIA H			
	22 CENTUR	Y HILL I	DRIVE					
	SUITE 302				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	LATHAM, N	VY 1211	10		2138			

DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application N	0.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/707,373		HUISMAN ET AL.					
			Examiner		Art Unit					
_	. 33333.,,	T. C.	Cynthia Britt		2138					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appe	ears on the cov	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any i	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Nations of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum street to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATES of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will y will, by statute, co	TE OF THIS (  (a). In no event, he  apply and will expirate  cause the applicatio	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be tim ire SIX (6) MONTHS from in to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status					,					
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on		•						
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		action is non-f	inal						
,					secution as to the	a marite ie				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
	• .	ioo anaci ex	pario quayro	, 1000 0.5. 11, 40	0.0.210.					
Dispositi 	on of Claims	,	•							
-	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the				•					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	are withdrawr	n from consid	eration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restrict	ion and/or ele	ection require	ment.						
Applicati	on Papers									
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.	•							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)	pted or b)□ c	bjected to by the E	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any obje		•	•						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected t	=	•			, ,				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(-) - (-)					
-/1		documents	have been re	ceived		•				
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* 5	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
dee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
A441-	w.,									
Attachmen	, ,		л. Г	7.1-4	(DTO 442)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948)	4) L	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			Notice of Informal P		O-152)				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	•	6) [	Other:						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-8 and 25-31, drawn to a method for diagnosing failing scan chains, classified in class 714, subclass 726.
- II. Claims 9-20 and 21-24, drawn to constructing scan chains, classified in class 716, subclass 4.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination Group I has separate utility such as testing the scan chain while Group II merely sets up the design. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Britt whose telephone number is 571-272-3815. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/707,373

Art Unit: 2138

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on 571-272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cynthia Britt Examiner Art Unit 2138